

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/837,196	04/19/2001	Nobuyoshi Nakajima	2091-0237P	1187	
2292	7590 07/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			CHEVALIE	CHEVALIER, ROBERT	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	JRCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2616		
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany		09/837,196	NAKAJIMA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bob Chevalier	2616			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon.  The areply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	19 April 2001.				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□						
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by t					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	` '	_				
1) Motic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Dransperson's Fatent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 r No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/837,196 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al.

Yamada et al discloses a recording/reproducing apparatus that shows all the limitations recited in claim 1, including the feature of having a plural set of image data recorded on a recording medium and thumbnail images of selected representative images being also recorded on the recording medium as specified in the present claims 1, 4, 7, and 10. (See Yamada et al's claim 49, last paragraph, section (ii), where it is disclosed thumbnail information including information pertaining to a plurality of representative images of the image files being recorded on the recording medium).

With regard to claims 2-3, 5-6, 8-9, the feature of selecting the representative image data based on predetermined data or degree of similarity of the set of image data as specified thereof would be inherently present in the cited reference of Yamada et al's apparatus. Because, the Yamada et al's reference discloses that the user has the capability of selecting representative data as desired as shown in Figures 2, and 3, of

Art Unit: 2616

Yamada et al. Therefore, the user can always look for similarity of the image data in selecting the representative image data as desired.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claim is directed to a recording medium storing nonfunctional descriptive material.

Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer-readable media are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are neither physical "things" nor statutory processes. See, e.g. Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory) and merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material stored in a computer-readable medium does not make it statutory. See MPEP 2106.IV.B.1.

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Haneda discloses an image apparatus which discloses the feature of representative image data.

Nagasaka et al discloses a video access method and video access apparatus. Koichi (JP406225265) discloses a picture transmission apparatus.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bob Chevalier whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 09/837,196

Art Unit: 2616

7374. The examiner can normally be reached on MM-F (9:00-6:30), second Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on 571-272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B. Chevalier July 7, 2005.

MOBERT CHEVALIER

Page 4